Nicotianamine synthase specifically expressed in root nodules of *Lotus japonicus*

T. Hakoyama · H. Watanabe · J. Tomita· A. Yamamoto · S. Sato · Y. Mori · H. Kouchi · N. Suganuma

T. Hakoyama · H. Watanabe · J. Tomita· A. Yamamoto · S. Sato · Y. Mori · N. Suganuma Department of Life Science, Aichi University of Education, Kariya, Aichi 448-8542, Japan

T. Hakoyama · H. Kouchi

National Institute of Agrobiological Sciences, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8602, Japan

Corresponding author:

N. Suganuma; e-mail: nsuganum@auecc.aichi-edu.ac.jp

Abstract In dicotyledonous plants, nicotianamine synthase (NAS) is thought to play a role in the intercellular transport of iron (Fe). Fe is an essential metal for nitrogen-fixing root nodules of legumes, prompting us to characterize the role of the NAS gene in detail. We previously compared gene-expression profiles in ineffective nodules formed on a Lotus japonicus Fix⁻ mutant, sen1, with those in wild-type effective nodules, and showed that expression of an expressed sequence tag (EST) clone encoding an NAS (EC 2.5.1.43) homologue was repressed in the ineffective nodules. In the present study, two EST clones encoding NAS homologues were found in the EST database. We named them LiNAS1 and LjNAS2. Both were detected as single-copy genes in the L. japonicus genome, and conferred NAS activities in transformed Saccharomyces cerevisiae. LjNAS2 was expressed only in nodules, but LjNAS1 was expressed mainly in leaves, stems, and cotyledons. The level of LiNAS2 transcripts was highest in the nodules 24 days after inoculation with Mesorhizobium loti, and was localized in vascular bundles within the nodules. Expression of LjNAS2 was suppressed in ineffective nodules formed on Fix⁻ mutants other than sen1. By contrast, nitrogenase activities of nodules were not influenced in LjNAS2-suppressed plants. We discuss the role of LjNAS2 from the aspect of Fe translocation in nodules.

Keywords Fix^- mutant · Iron · Lotus · Nicotianamine synthase · Nitrogen fixation

Abbreviations

Ср	Crossing-point

- EST Expression sequence tag
- NA Nicotianamine
- NAS Nicotianamine synthase
- RNAi RNA interference

SAM S-adenosylmethionine

Introduction

Rhizobia fix atmospheric nitrogen in a symbiotic association with legumes: the nitrogen fixed by rhizobia supports host plant growth, while the nitrogen fixation is dependent on the host plants. Rhizobia receive flavonoid compounds secreted from compatible host plants, and in turn produce lipo-chitooligosaccharide signals, known as Nod factors, which trigger the initiation of organogenesis of a symbiosis-specific organ, known as the root nodule (Geurts and Franssen 1996; Long 2001). During nodule formation, rhizobia invade cortical cells, differentiate into bacteroids, and start nitrogen fixation. Host plants establish a microenvironment in the nodule cells in which oxygen-sensitive nitrogenase is able to work, and control the expression and synthesis of nitrogen-fixing apparatus in the rhizobia (David et al. 1988). They also provide a source of energy and reductants for rhizobial nitrogenase (Udvardi and Day 1997). Thus, a number of host plant genes are predicted to be involved in various aspects of symbiotic nitrogen fixation. However, the molecular mechanisms that regulate symbiotic nitrogen fixation are not fully understood.

To identify host plant genes that contribute to the establishment of symbiotic nitrogen fixation, we compared gene expression in the nodules of a *Lotus japonicus* Fix^- mutant, *sen1*, with that in wild-type 'Gifu' nodules using cDNA macroarray (Suganuma et al. 2004). The *sen1* mutant forms nodules endocytosed by rhizobia, but the nodules completely lack nitrogen-fixing activity (Kawaguchi et al. 2002; Suganuma et al. 2003). Genes with repressed expression in ineffective *sen1* nodules are likely to be involved in the establishment of symbiotic nitrogen fixation. Here, we focus on one such gene that encodes nicotianamine synthase (NAS).

In graminaceous plants, iron (Fe) chelators called phytosiderophores are secreted from the roots, and play an essential role in acquiring sparingly soluble Fe in the rhizosphere (Herbik et al. 1999; Higuchi et al. 1999). This mechanism of Fe acquisition is called Strategy

- 4 -

II (Marschner et al. 1986). NAS is a key enzyme in the synthesis of nicotianamine (NA) from S-adenosylmethionine (SAM), which is a precursor of phytosiderophores (Supplementary Fig. 1). NA is ubiquitously present not only in graminaceous plants, but also in non-graminaceous plants, which do not use Strategy II to acquire Fe or produce phytosiderophores. In such non-graminaceous plants that produce no phytosiderophores, however, NA is not secreted but rather chelates metal cations including Fe in plants, suggesting a role in the internal transport of Fe and other metals (Ling et al. 1999; Pich et al. 2001; Takahashi et al. 2003).

As Fe is an essential component of nitrogenase and leghemoglobin, mobilization of Fe in legume nodules has been studied extensively. For example, Ragland and Theil (1993) showed that the Fe storage protein ferritin accumulates in the early stages of soybean nodule development, and that the Fe in ferritin can be utilized for nitrogenase and leghemoglobin. Furthermore, the nodule-enhanced divalent metal transporter GmDMT1, which transports ferrous Fe across the peribacteroid membrane, was identified in soybean nodules (Kaiser et al. 2003). However, little is known about NA and NAS genes in legume–*Rhizobium* symbiosis. Here, we describe cDNA cloning, expression profiles, and functional analyses of *L. japonicus* NAS genes, and discuss possible functions of a nodule-specific isoform of NAS.

Materials and methods

Plant materials

Seeds of *L. japonicus* 'Gifu B-129' were obtained from The National BioResource Project (*L. japonicus* and *G. max*) Office, Department of Agriculture, Miyazaki University (Miyazaki, Japan). They were surface-sterilized and inoculated with *Mesorhizobium loti* MAFF 303099. The plants were grown in vermiculite watered with a nitrogen-free nutrient solution in a

controlled chamber with a 16-h day/8-h night cycle at 26 °C, as described by Imaizumi-Anraku et al. (1997). The Fix⁻ mutants *sen1* (Kawaguchi et al. 2002; Suganuma et al. 2003), *sst1* (Kawaguchi et al. 2002; Krusell et al. 2005), and *fen1* (Imaizumi-Anraku et al. 1997; Kawaguchi et al. 2002), derived from 'Gifu', were grown similarly.

Sequence analysis

Expressed sequence tag (EST) clones MWM057e03 and GNf070f09 were obtained from the Kazusa DNA Research Institute (Chiba, Japan) (Asamizu et al. 2004; Kouchi et al. 2004). Plasmids containing each clone were isolated, and the nucleotide sequence of each clone was determined by means of an automatic ABI PRISM 310 sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). Deduced amino-acid sequences were predicted, and similarities were analyzed by using the GENETYX program (Software Development, Tokyo, Japan).

Assay of NAS activity

The coding regions for *LjNAS1* and *LjNAS2* were amplified from the EST clones MWM057e03 and GNf070f09 by PCR using forward and reverse primers containing the *Kpn* I and *Not* I sites, respectively. The sequences of the forward and reverse primers were 5'-GGGGTACCATGGTTTGCCAAGAAGAGC-3' and 5'-GTTGAGGAGCAACTCTCGTGAGCGGCCGC-3' for *LjNAS1*, and 5'-GGGGTACCATGGAGAACCAGAAGGAGG-3' and

5'-ACCATTGATGAGCATGCTTAAGCGGCCGC-3' for *LjNAS2*, respectively. The amplified PCR fragments were ligated into the *Kpn* I and *Not* I cloning sites of the pYES2 yeast expression vector containing the *GAL1* promoter (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The

resultant constructs were introduced into *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* INVSc1 (Invitrogen). Transformants were selected by uracil prototrophy. The production of recombinant proteins was induced by the addition of galactose as a carbon source according to the manufacturer's instructions (Invitrogen).

NAS activity was measured essentially as described by Suzuki et al. (1999). Transformed yeast cells were broken with glass beads in reaction buffer containing 50 mM Tris HCl (pH 8.7), 1 mM EDTA, 3 mM DTT, 10 μ M ρ -amidinophenyl methanesulfonyl fluoride (APMSF), and 10 μ M E-64, and the supernatant was obtained by centrifugation. Supernatant containing 50 μ g protein was mixed with [¹⁴C]SAM to give a final concentration of 20 μ M [¹⁴C]SAM. After incubation at 25 °C for 30 min, thin-layer chromatography (TLC) analysis was performed after applying the reaction mixture to a silica gel TLC plate. The plates were developed with phenol:1-butanol:formate:water (12:3:2:3, by vol.). The [¹⁴C]NA synthesized by the enzymatic reaction was detected by autoradiography. The identity of this [¹⁴C]NA was confirmed by comparison with chemically synthesized NA (Toronto Research Chemicals, Toronto, ON, Canada), which can be detected with ninhydrin spray.

Expression analyses

Southern-blot and northern-blot analyses were performed as described previously (Suganuma et al. 2004). Genomic DNA was isolated from leaves of 3-month-old *L. japonicus* plants, and digested to completion with *Bam* HI, *Eco* RI, and *Hind* III. Total RNA was isolated from tissues and from the roots and nodules of *L. japonicus* plants at the following stages of development: nodules, roots, and cotyledons were harvested from 3-week-old plants; stems, leaves, flowers, and pods were obtained from 3-month-old plants; and 7-day-old uninoculated roots, 10-day-old inoculated roots, and 14-day-old, 17-day-old, 21-day-old, and 24-day-old

effective nodules were also used. Digested DNA and total RNA were electrophoresed and then transferred to nylon membranes. The DNA inserts for *LjNAS1* and *LjNAS2* were isolated from their plasmids, and were labeled with [³²P]dCTP. After hybridization and stringent washings, the membranes were exposed to X-ray film. In-situ hybridization was carried out using the method described by Kouchi and Hata (1993). RNA probes were labeled with digoxigenin-11-UTR (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland), and the hybridization signals were detected using anti-digoxigenin-alkaline phosphate conjugate with nitro-blue tetrazolium salt and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate toluidinium salt (Roche Diagnostics).

Generation of transgenic plants

An RNA interference (RNAi) plasmid was constructed as described by Shimomura et al. (2006). A cDNA fragment of *LjNAS2* was amplified by PCR from the EST clone GNf070f09 with the primers 5'-TTCCATCCTCGAGCAAGGAAGCATTCGGT-3' (forward) and 5'-TTATCGATGGTACCAAGCCTGCTCATAGCA-3' (reverse). The amplification products were digested with *Xho I/Kpn* I and *Bam* HI/*Cla* I, and ligated into the pHANNIBAL plasmid vector (Wesley et al. 2001), in which the sense and antisense *LjNAS2* RNA sequences were placed in tandem, with a pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase intron between them; this intron-spliced hairpin RNAi construct was placed downstream of the Cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 35S promoter. The entire RNAi construct was excised and subcloned into the binary vector pCAMBIA1300 (CAMBIA, Canberra, ACT, Australia) by *Sac* I and *Pst* I. The construct was introduced into *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain LBA4404 by the freeze–thaw procedure.

L. japonicus was transformed according to the method described by Stiller et al. (1997) with some minor modifications. In brief, hypocotyls excised from *L. japonicus* 'Gifu

B-129' seedlings were infected with *A. tumefaciens* harboring the above-mentioned binary vector construct. Generated calluses were screened for hygromycin resistance, and the regenerated plants were grown to maturity in vermiculite pots for harvesting of T_1 seeds. More than 20 independent T_1 transgenic lines were generated, and plants with sufficient fertility were selected and propagated to the T_2 generation. Among them, two lines in which the highest suppression in *LjNAS2* expression was detected were used for phenotypic analysis.

Quantitative RT-PCR

Nodules of T₂ transgenic plants were harvested 40 days after inoculation with *M. loti* MAFF 303099, and the total RNA of these nodules was isolated using an RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. RNA was treated with DNase (RQ1; Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and reverse-transcribed by Superscript II (Invitrogen) with oligo- (dT_{18}) as a primer. The resultant cDNA (equivalent to about 50 ng RNA) was used as the template for real-time PCR with a Lightcycler model 350S (Roche Diagnostics) according to the standard procedures described in the manual, with the LjNAS2 5'-CAGAAGGAGGTGATTGTGGG-3' (forward) primers and 5'-AGGAACTTGAGTGCAGTGTG-3' (reverse). Ubiquitin was used as an internal control 5'-TTCACCTTGTGCTCCGTCTTC-3' 5'with the primers (forward) and AACAACAGCACACACAGCCAATCC-3' (reverse), as described by Flemetakis et al. (2000). The expression data were recorded as crossing-point (Cp) values. The Cp value defines the cycle number at which the fluorescence signal of the sample exceeds the background fluorescence. The Cp value was normalized to ubiquitin, and relative amounts of the *LjNAS2* transcripts were calculated using the following formulae:

$$nCp = Cp_{LjNAS2} - Cp_{LjUbi}$$

Relative amount = $2^{-nCpLjNAS2}$.

Here, nCp is the normalized Cp value.

Phenotypic analysis

Transgenic plants were grown as described above. At 40 days after inoculation with *M. loti* MAFF 303099, the fresh weights of the plants and nodules, the numbers of nodules, and the nitrogenase activity were determined. The nitrogenase activity was assayed by the acetylene reduction assay (Suganuma et al. 2004).

Results

Primary structures of NASs in L. japonicus

Previously, we showed by cDNA macroarray analysis that the EST clone GNf070f09, with repressed expression in ineffective nodules induced on the Fix⁻ mutant *sen1*, is homologous to NASs identified from various plant species in comparison with wild-type nodules (Suganuma et al. 2004). We searched for more homologous clones to the NAS gene in the *L. japonicus* EST database (Asamizu et al. 2004; Kouchi et al. 2004), and identified MWM057e03. Predicted proteins for MWM057e03 and GNf070f09 contained 318 and 312 amino acids, respectively. The homology of their amino-acid sequences was 62.8%. We named MWM057e03 and GNf070f09 as *LjNAS1* (accession number AB480829) and *LjNAS2* (accession number AB480830), respectively. Multiple alignments of the deduced amino-acid sequences allowed us to divide the known NASs into monocotyledonous and dicotyledonous types (Fig. 1 and Supplementary Fig. 2). Both LjNAS1 and LjNAS2 were categorized as

dicotyledonous types, but LjNAS2 was found to belong to the same clade as NAS from the legume species *Medicago truncatula* (MtNAS).

Southern-blot analysis using entire cDNA sequences as probes gave a single band for *LjNAS1* and two bands for *LjNAS2* (Fig. 2). As *Bam* HI, *Eco* RI, and *Hin*d III restriction sites were present in the coding region of *LjNAS2*, these results indicate that both genes are present as a single copy in the *L. japonicus* genome.

To confirm the NAS activities of these gene products, we introduced each cDNA into *S. cerevisiae* under the control of the *GAL1* promoter. The *S. cerevisiae* NAS gene has not been identified. NA synthesis from [¹⁴C]SAM was clearly detected in the cell-free extract of *S. cerevisiae* transformed with either *LjNAS1* or *LjNAS2* only when cultured with added galactose (Fig. 3). These results demonstrate that *LjNAS1* and *LjNAS2* both encode NAS.

Expression analysis of NAS genes

Northern-blot analysis revealed that LjNAS2 was expressed only in nodules (Fig. 4). By contrast, LjNAS1 was expressed mainly in leaves, stems, and cotyledons, and only slightly in nodules. Levels of LjNAS2 transcripts in nodules increased strongly 24 days after inoculation with *M. loti*, but expression of LjNAS1 remained low throughout nodule development (Fig. 4). In-situ hybridization revealed that LjNAS2 transcripts were localized at vascular bundles in nodules (Fig. 5). In ineffective *sen1* nodules, expression of LjNAS2 is repressed (Suganuma et al. 2004). To ascertain whether this effect is specific to the *sen1* mutant, we examined the expression of LjNAS2 in other types of ineffective nodule, and found that it was also repressed in those formed on two other Fix⁻ mutants, *sst1* (Kawaguchi et al. 2002), as well as in *sen1* (Fig. 6). By contrast, slightly more LjNAS1 transcripts were observed in *sen1*, *sst1*, and *fen1* nodules

than in effective nodules of wild-type 'Gifu' plants.

Phenotypic analysis of LjNAS2-suppressed transgenic plants

The repression of *LjNAS2* in three types of ineffective nodule suggests that LjNAS2 plays a role in symbiotic nitrogen fixation in nodules. To elucidate this role, we generated transgenic plants in which the expression of *LjNAS2* was suppressed by RNA silencing, and analyzed their phenotypes at 40 days after *M. loti* inoculation. We expected a longer period to be required to detect phenotypic differences of *LjNAS2*-suppressed transgenic plants, as *LjNAS2* is expressed in the later stages of nodule development. Suppression of *LjNAS2* expression in two transgenic lines was confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR (Fig. 7). Expression of *LjNAS1* was not affected (data not shown). No clear differences were observed between transgenic line 1 and wild-type plants in the fresh weights of plants and nodules and in the numbers of nodules, but the values were reduced significantly in the transgenic line 2. However, the nitrogenase (acetylene reduction) activities of both lines were similar to that of the control (Fig. 7).

Discussion

Two genes encoding NASs were identified in a model legume, *L. japonicus*, and the NAS activities of both gene products were confirmed by heterologous expression in *S. cerevisiae*. *LjNAS1* was expressed in leaves, stems, and cotyledons, but the expression of *LjNAS2* was specific to nodules. These results suggest that *LjNAS1* is a housekeeping gene, and that *LjNAS2* probably arose from *LjNAS1* and functions exclusively in nodules. Phylogenic analysis placed LjNAS2 and MtNAS at a distinct distance from other NASs in dicotyledonous plants. MtNAS is likely to be an orthologue of LjNAS2, and both LjNAS2 and MtNAS are

likely to be functionally different from other NASs.

NA has been proposed to play a role in the intercellular transport of Fe and other metal ions in dicotyledonous plants (Ling et al. 1999; Pich et al. 2001; Takahashi et al. 2003), which is supported by our detection of *LjNAS2* transcripts in vascular bundles in nodules. NA produced in vascular bundles is likely to bind Fe, and the Fe–NA complex is probably then transported to nodule cells. However, the expression of *LjNAS2* was induced strongly 24 days after inoculation with *M. loti*. Under the same culture conditions, the expression of leghemoglobin genes in nodules was detected 10 days after inoculation, reaching a maximum at 14 days (Suganuma et al. 2004). The nitrogenase activity of nodules was concomitantly detected at 14 days (Suganuma et al. 2003). Therefore, in the early stages of nodule development, LjNAS2 is not involved in the acquisition of Fe for functional nitrogenase and leghemoglobin.

However, the expression of LjNAS2 was repressed in ineffective nodules formed on three types of Fix⁻ mutant, *sen1*, *sst1*, and *fen1*, suggesting that LiNAS2 is involved in symbiotic nitrogen fixation. To understand the role of LjNAS2 in the establishment of symbiotic nitrogen fixation, we generated transgenic plants in which the expression of LjNAS2, but not of LjNAS1, was suppressed. In the NAS-deficient tomato mutant *chloronerva*, growth is retarded, intercostal chlorosis in young leaves is induced, and flowers are sterile (Ling et al. 1999). These abnormal phenotypes are also observed in transgenic tobacco plants that consume NA as a result of the overexpression of NA aminotransferase (Takahashi et al. 2003), and in which NAS activity is suppressed by the introduction of an antisense *Arabidopsis* NAS gene (Herbik et al. 1999). Here, we did not observe such remarkable abnormalities in plant growth in *LjNAS2*-suppressed plants. These results were expected, as LjNAS2 acts exclusively in nodules. Nevertheless, 40 days after *M. loti* inoculation, when we expected LjNAS2 to be abundantly expressed in normal nodules, nitrogenase activities were not affected by suppression of *LjNAS2*. This implies that the Fe required for symbiotic nitrogen fixation is supplied to nodules without LjNAS2, not only in the early stages but also in the later stages of nodule development.

When Fe was artificially supplied to soybean plants, it was mostly deposited in leaves and nodules, and the levels in each organ increased during plant development (Burton et al. 1998). During the reproductive stage of soybean, approximately 50% of the Fe in leaves and nodules is translocated to seeds. Here, the expression of LjNAS1 was strongest in leaves, and that of *LjNAS2* was strongest in nodules. These results suggest that LjNAS1 and LjNAS2 play roles in the export of Fe to other plant parts, such as seeds, from leaves and from nodules, respectively. Legumes are able to acquire Fe for development when they do not form a symbiotic association with rhizobia. In symbiotic conditions, however, they utilize a large amount of Fe on the development and functioning of nodules. When nodules start to senesce, their Fe is exported to shoots, and so LjNAS2 might play such a role. This hypothesis is supported by the observed late expression of LjNAS2. The reduced expression of LjNAS2 in ineffective nodules might be attributable to insufficient nodule development due to the lack of nitrogen fixation. We did not observe consistent defects in the growth of plants and nodules 40 days after rhizobial inoculation in two transgenic lines in which the expression of LjNAS2 was suppressed: longer periods might be required to observe clear defects. In addition, the distribution of Fe in each organ, especially in the seeds and nodules, remains to be determined in future experiments.

NA is also involved in the transport of other metals, such as copper (Cu), manganese (Mn), and zinc (Zn; Benes et al. 1983; Stephan and Scholz 1993; von Wirén et al. 1999). In transgenic tobacco plants in which overproduced NA aminotransferase consumes NA, concentrations of Cu, Mn, and Zn, as well as Fe, were decreased significantly in leaves and flowers (Takahashi et al. 2003). LjNAS2 might also be involved in the translocation of other

metals from nodules to shoots.

Our results show that *L. japonicus* nodules have an NAS that is expressed exclusively in the vascular bundles of nodules during the late stage of nodule development. This provides a new insight into the translocation of Fe in legumes. Further analysis of *LjNAS2*-suppressed plants will unravel the exact role of LjNAS2 in legume–*Rhizobium* symbiosis.

Acknowledgments This work was supported by the Special Coordination Funds for Promoting Science and Technology of the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology.

References

- Asamizu E, Nakamura Y, Sato S, Tabata S (2004) Characteristics of the *Lotus japonicus* gene repertoire deduced from large-scale expressed sequence tag (EST) analysis. Plant Mol Biol 54:405–414
- Benes I, Schreiber K, Ripperger H, Kircheise A (1983) Metal complex formation by nicotianamine, a possible phytosiderophore. Experimentia 39:261–262
- Burton JW, Harlow C, Theil EC (1998) Evidence for reutilization of nodules iron in soybean seed development. J Plant Nutr 21:913–927
- David M, Daverran ML, Batut J, Dedieu A, Domergue O, Ghai J, Hertig C, Boistard P, Kahn D (1988) Cascade regulation of *nif* gene expression in *Rhizobium meliloti*. Cell 54:671–683
- Flemetakis E, Kavroulakis N, Quaedvlieg NEM, Spaink HP, Dimou M, Roussis A, Katinakis P (2000) *Lotus japonicus* contains two distinct ENOD40 genes that are expressed in symbiotic, nonsymbiotic, and embryonic tissues. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 13: 987–994
- Geurts R, Franssen H (1996) Signal transduction in *Rhizobium*-induced nodule formation. Plant Physiol 112:447–453
- Herbik A, Koch G, Mock HP, Dushkov D, Czihal A, Thielmann J, Stephan UW, Bäumlein H (1999) Isolation, characterization and cDNA cloning of nicotianamine synthase from barley. Eur J Biochem 265:231–239
- Higuchi K, Suzuki K, Nakanishi H, Yamaguchi H, Nishizawa NK, Mori S (1999) Cloning of nicotianamine synthase genes, novel genes involved in the biosynthesis of phytosiderpohores. Plant Physiol 119:471–479
- Imaizumi-Anraku H, Kawaguchi M, Koiwa H, Akao S, Syono K (1997) Two ineffective-nodulating mutants of *Lotus japonicus*: different phenotypes caused by the blockage of endocytotic bacterial release and nodule maturation. Plant Cell Physiol

38:871-881

- Kaiser BN, Moreau S, Castelli J, Thomson R, Lambert A, Bogliolo S, Puppo A, Day DA (2003) The soybean NRAMP homologue, GmDMT1, is a symbiotic divalent metal transporter capable of ferrous iron transport. Plant J 35:295–304
- Kawaguchi M, Imaizumi-Anraku H, Koiwa H, Niwa S, Ikuta A, Syono K, Akao S (2002) Root, root hair, and symbiotic mutants of the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 15:17–26
- Kouchi H, Hata S (1993) Isolation and characterization of novel nodulin cDNAs representing genes expressed at early stages of soybean nodule development. Mol Gen Genet 238:106–119
- Kouchi H, Shimomura K, Hata S, Hirota A, Wu GJ, Kumagai H, Tajima S, Suganuma N, Suzuki A, Aoki T, Hayashi M, Yokoyama T, Ohyama T, Asamizu E, Kuwata C, Shibata D, Tabata S (2004) Large-scale analysis of gene expression profile during early stages of root nodule formation in a model legume, *Lotus japonicus*. DNA Res 11:263–274
- Krusell L, Krause K, Ott T, Desbrosses G, Krämer U, Sato S, Nakamura Y, Tabata S, James EK, Sandal N, Stougaard J, Kawaguchi M, Miyamoto A, Suganuma N, Udvardi MK (2005) The sulfate transporter SST1 is crucial for symbiotic nitrogen fixation in *Lotus japonicus* root nodules. Plant Cell 17:1625–1636
- Ling HQ, Koch G, Bäumlein H, Ganal MW (1999) Map-based cloning of *chloronerva*, a gene involved in iron uptake of higher plants encoding nicotianamine synthase. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 96:7098–7103
- Long SR (2001) Genes and signals in the *Rhizobium*-legume symbiosis. Plant Physiol 125:69–72
- Marschner H, Römheld V, Kissel M (1986) Different strategies in higher plants in mobilization and uptake of iron. J Plant Nutr 9:695–713

- Pich A, Manteuffel R, Hillmer S, Scholz G, Schmidt W (2001) Fe homeostasis in plant cells: does nicotianamine play multiple roles in the regulation of cytoplasmic Fe concentration? Planta 213:967–976
- Ragland M, Theil EC (1993) Ferritin (mRNA, protein) and iron concentrations during soybean nodules development. Plant Mol Biol 21:555–560
- Shimomura K, Nomura M, Tajima S, Kouchi H (2006) LjnsRING, a novel RING finger protein, is required for symbiotic interactions between *Mesorhizobium loti* and *Lotus japonicus*. Plant Cell Physiol 47:1572–1581
- Stephan UW, Scholz G (1993) Nicotianamine: mediator of transport of iron and heavy metals in the phloem? Physiol Plant 88:522–529
- Stiller J, Martirani L, Tuppale S, Chian R, Chiurazzi M, Gresshoff P (1997) High frequency transformation and regeneration of transgenic plants in the model legume *Lotus japonicus*. J Exp Bot 48:1357–1365
- Suganuma N, Nakamura Y, Yamamoto M, Ohta T, Koiwa H, Akao S, Kawaguchi M (2003) The *Lotus japonicus Sen1* gene controls rhizobial differentiation into nitrogen-fixing bacteroids in nodules. Mol Genet Genomics 269:312–320
- Suganuma N, Yamamoto A, Itou A, Hakoyama T, Banba M, Hata S, Kawaguchi M, Kouchi H (2004) cDNA macroarray analysis of gene expression in ineffective nodules induced on the *Lotus japonicus sen1* mutant. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 17:1223–1233
- Suzuki K, Higuchi K, Nakanishi H, Nishizawa NK, Mori S (1999) Cloning of nicotianamine synthase genes from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Soil Sci Plant Nutr 45:993–1002
- Takahashi M, Terada Y, Nakai I, Nakanishi H, Yoshimura E, Mori S, Nishizawa NK (2003) Role of nicotianamine in the intracellular delivery of metals and plant reproductive development. Plant Cell 15:1263–1280

Udvardi MK, Day DA (1997) Metabolite transport across symbiotic membranes of legume

nodules. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol 48:493-523

- von Wirén N, Klair S, Bansai S, Briat JF, Khodr H, Shioiri T, Leigh RA, Hider RC (1999) Nicotianamine chelates both Fe^{III} and Fe^{II}: implications for metal transport in plants. Plant Physiol 119:1107–1114
- Wesley SV, Helliwell CA, Smith NA, Wang MB, Rouse DT, Liu Q, Gooding P S, Singh SP,
 Abbott D, Stoutjesdijk PA, Robinson SP, Gleave AP, Green AG, Waterhouse P M (2001)
 Construct design for efficient, effective and high-throughput gene silencing in plants.
 Plant J 27: 581–590

Figure legends

Fig. 1 Phylogenetic relationship of deduced amino-acid sequences of LjNAS1 and LjNAS2 with other nicotianamine synthases from plants. The phylogram was created by the unweighted pair-group maximum average method using GENETYX-MAC software. Branch lengths reflect sequence diversity counted as the number of substitutions per site. At: *Arabidopsis thaliana*; Le: *Lycopersicon esculentum*; Mt: *Medicago truncatula*, Hv: *Hordeum vulgare*; Zm: *Zea mays*; Os: *Oryza sativa*. Sequence accession numbers were as follows: AtNAS1 (AB021934); AtNAS2 (AB021935); AtNAS3 (AB021936); AtNAS4 (AB181237); LeNAS (AJ242045); MtNAS (A2Q2X7); HvNAS1 (AB010086); HvNAS2 (AB011265); HvNAS3 (AB011264); HvNAS4 (AB011266); HvNAS6 (AB011269); HvNAS7 (AB019525); HvNAS8 (AF136941); HvNAS9 (AF136942); ZmNAS1 (AB061270); ZmNAS3 (AB042551); OsNAS1 (AB021746); OsNAS2 (AB023818); OsNAS3 (AB023819).

Fig. 2 Genomic Southern-blot analysis of *LjNAS1* and *LjNAS2* genes. Genomic DNA was isolated from leaves of 3-month-old *Lotus japonicus* plants. Genomic DNA (10 μ g) was digested with *Bam* HI (B), *Eco* RI (E), and *Hind* III (H), fractionated in a 0.8% agarose gel, transferred to a nylon membrane, and hybridized with radiolabeled probes.

Fig. 3 Thin-layer chromatography analysis of LjNAS1 and LjNAS2 activities. Crude extracts isolated from transformed *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cultured without (–) or with (+) galactose were mixed with radiolabeled S-adenosylmethionine. After incubation, assay mixtures were applied to thin-layer chromatography for separation of produced nicotianamine. Radiolabeled S-adenosylmethionine and nicotianamine were detected by autoradiography.

Fig. 4 Northern-blot analysis of *LjNAS1* and *LjNAS2* messenger RNAs. Total RNA was isolated from tissues (left), and from roots and nodules (right), of *Lotus japonicus* plants at the following stages of development: nodules (N), roots (R), and cotyledons (C) of 3-week-old plants; stems (S), leaves (L), flowers (F), and pods (P) of 3-month-old plants; and 7-day-old uninoculated roots (7), 10-day-old inoculated roots (10), and effective nodules (14, 17, 21, and 24 days after sowing). Each sample of total RNA (5 μ g) was electrophoresed in a 1.25% agarose gel containing formaldehyde, transferred to a nylon membrane, and hybridized with radiolabeled probes. Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) was stained with ethidium bromide.

Fig. 5 In-situ localization of *LjNAS2* mRNA in effective nodules harvested from 4-week-old plants. Longitudinal (**a**, **c**) and traverse (**b**, **d**) sections (10 μ m) through nodules were hybridized with digoxigenin-labeled antisense (**a**, **b**) or sense (**c**, **d**) probes. Hybridization signals are visible as purple or blue areas (arrow heads). Scale bar represents 100 μ m; all micrographs were taken at the same magnification.

Fig. 6 Northern-blot analysis of *LjNAS1* and *LjNAS2* mRNAs from effective nodules (Gifu) and ineffective nodules induced on *sen1*, *sst1*, and *fen1* mutants harvested from 4-week-old plants. Each sample of total RNA (5 μ g) was electrophoresed in a 1.25% agarose gel containing formaldehyde, transferred to a nylon membrane, and hybridized with radiolabeled probes. Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) was stained with ethidium bromide.

Fig. 7 Phenotypic analysis of transgenic plants in which expression of LjNAS2 was suppressed by RNA silencing. Control plants containing empty vector (V) and two lines of LjNAS2-suppressed plants (1 and 2) were analyzed. Suppression of LjNAS2 expression was confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR (**a**). At 40 days after inoculation, fresh weights of plants

(b), numbers of nodules (c), fresh weights of nodules formed per plant (d), and acetylene reduction activities (ARAs) (e) were determined. All values are the means of nine plants, and the vertical bars represent standard errors. An asterisk indicates a significant difference from the vector control according to the Student's *t*-test (p < 0.05).









Fig. 4









Supplementary Fig. 1 Biosynthetic pathway of nicotianamine

T. INAS1	MVC)EE_I.I		P T.EST.K P	SK 29
LiNAS2	MENOF	ZEV-IV	GK-V-CEIYAKIS	P	SN 29
MtNAS	MDNR	DEV-II	EK-V-CKIYDKLS	P	PN 29
AtNAS1	MACOI	NNL-V	VKOI-IDLYDOIS	P	SK 29
AtNAS2	MACÊl	NNL-V	VKÕI-MDLYNÕIS	P	SK 29
AtNAS3	MGCQ	DE-QL	VQT-ICDLYEKIS	<mark>P</mark>	SE 29
AtNAS4	MGYCQ	QDD-QL	VNK-ICDLYEKIS	<mark>P</mark>	CE 30
LeNAS	MVCI	PNS-NP	VVEKVCELYEQIS	<mark>P</mark>	SK 30
HvNAS1	-DAQN	K-E	VAAL-IE	KIAGIQAAIAELPSLS <mark>P</mark>	SP 31
HvNAS2	MAAQN	N-Q	E-VDAL-VE	KITGLHAAIAKLPSLS <mark>P</mark>	SP 33
HvNAS3	MAAQN	N-N	KDVAAL-VE	KITGLHAAIAKLPSLS <mark>P</mark>	SP 34
HvNAS4	MDGQS	E-E	VDAL-VQ	KITGLHAAIAKLPSLS <mark>P</mark>	SP 32
HvNAS6	MDAQN	K-E	VDAL-VQ	KITGLHAAIAKLPSLS <mark>P</mark>	SP 32
HvNAS7	MDAQS	K-E	VDAL-VQ	KITGLHAAIAKLPSLS <mark>P</mark>	SP 32
HvNAS8	MDAQN	K-E	VDAL-VQ	KITGLHAAIAKLPSLS <mark>P</mark>	SP 32
HvNAS9	MGMEGCCS	SNKKVMEE	EE	ALVKKITGLAAAIGELPSLS <mark>P</mark>	SP 39
ZmNAS1	MEAQN	V-E	VAAL-VQ	KIAALHANITKLPSLNP	SP 32
ZmNAS3	MAVMGKEI	SEEQQQQHKEE-	-EVVQGDVRVVVQQETAAL	DEEAESALVRKISGLAAAIARLPSLSP	SP 64
OSNASI	MEAQN	Q-Е		KIAGLHAAISKLPSLSP	SA 32
OSNAS2	MEAQN				SA 32
USNAS3	MIVEVEA	/IMAKEEQPEEI	7FATR	K-LVEKIIGLAAAIGKLPSLS <mark>P</mark>	SP 40
LiNAS2	NVDTLFT	ELVLTCMPPSP-	- I <mark>D</mark> VTNLTKNVO-DIRSHI	TRLCGEAEGHLESHYSTILGSHKN	-P 89
LjNAS2	HVNELFT) <mark>LV</mark> TT <mark>C</mark> TTHC-F	EL <mark>D</mark> VTLLSOEVKETIAK-I	L <mark>IKLC</mark> GKAEGLLESHYSAIIGSHEN	-P 89
MtNAS	OVNDLFT	OLVTTCTTPCHE	EF <mark>D</mark> ITOLSÕEIKEKIAK-I	ITLCGKAEGLLESHYSTLIGSNEN	-P 90
AtNAS1	NVDTLFG	2 <mark>LV</mark> ST <mark>C</mark> LPTDTN	11 <mark>D</mark> VTÑMCĒE-VKDMRANI	L <mark>I</mark> KL <mark>C</mark> GE <mark>AE</mark> GY <mark>LE</mark> Q <mark>H</mark> FSTILGSLQEDQ	NP 93
AtNAS2	NVDTLFR	2 <mark>LV</mark> ST <mark>C</mark> LPTDTN	II <mark>D</mark> VTEIHDEKVKDMRSHI	L <mark>IKLC</mark> GEAEGYLEQHFSAILGSFEDN-	-P 92
AtNAS3	DVNI <mark>LF</mark> K(2 <mark>LV</mark> ST <mark>C</mark> IPPNPN	II <mark>D</mark> VTKMCDRVQ-EIRLNI	L <mark>IKIC</mark> GL <mark>AE</mark> GH <mark>LE</mark> NHFSSILTSYQDN-	-P 91
AtNAS4	DVDT <mark>LF</mark> K(Q <mark>LV</mark> ST <mark>C</mark> IPPNPN	II <mark>D</mark> VTKMSENIQ-EMRSNI	L <mark>IKIC</mark> GE <mark>AE</mark> GY <mark>LE</mark> H <mark>H</mark> FSSILTSFEDN-	-P 92
LeNAS	DVNV <mark>LF</mark> TI	O <mark>LV</mark> HT <mark>C</mark> MPPNP-	-I <mark>D</mark> VSKLCQKIQ-EIRSHI	L <mark>I</mark> KL <mark>C</mark> GQ <mark>AE</mark> GL <mark>LE</mark> S <mark>H</mark> FSKILSSYEN	-P 90
HvNAS1	EVDR <mark>LF</mark> TI) D <mark>LV</mark> TA <mark>C</mark> VPPSP-	-V <mark>D</mark> VTKLSPEHQRMREAL-	- <mark>I</mark> RL <mark>C</mark> SA <mark>AE</mark> GK <mark>LE</mark> A <mark>H</mark> YADLLATFDNP-	91
HvNAS2	DVDA <mark>LF</mark> TI	E <mark>LV</mark> TA <mark>C</mark> VPPSP-	-V <mark>D</mark> VTKLGPEAQEMREGL <i>-</i>	- <mark>I</mark> RL <mark>C</mark> SE <mark>AE</mark> GK <mark>LE</mark> A <mark>H</mark> YSDMLAAFDKP-	93
HvNAS3	DVDA <mark>LF</mark> TI	E <mark>LV</mark> TA <mark>C</mark> VPPSP-	-V <mark>D</mark> VTKLGPEAQEMREGL <i>-</i>	- <mark>I</mark> RL <mark>C</mark> SE <mark>AE</mark> GK <mark>LE</mark> AHYSDMLAAFDNP-	94
HvNAS4	DVDA <mark>LF</mark> TI) DEVTACTACTED -	-V <mark>D</mark> VTKLAPEAQAMREGL-	- <mark>I</mark> RL <mark>C</mark> SE <mark>AE</mark> GK <mark>LE</mark> AHYSDMLAAFDNP-	92
HvNAS6	DVDA <mark>LF</mark> TI) <mark>LV</mark> TA <mark>C</mark> VPPSP-	-V <mark>D</mark> VTKLGSEAQEMREGL-	-IRLCSEAEGKLEAHYSDMLAAFDNP-	92
HvNAS'/	DVDALFTI	OLVTACVPPSP-	-VDVTKLAPEAQAMREGL-	-IRLCSEAEGKLEAHYSDMLAAFDNP-	92
HVNAS8	DVDALFTI	OLVTACVPPSP-	-VDVTKLGSEAQEMREGL-	-IRLCSEAEGKLEAHYSDMLAAFDNP-	92
HVNAS9	EVNALFT	SLVTSCIPPST-	-VDVDALGPDAQEMRARL-	-IRLCADAEGHLEAHYSDLLAAHDNP-	99
ZMNASI	DANALFT	SLVMACVPPNP-	-VDVTKLSPDVQGMREEL-	-IRLCSDAEGHLEAHYADMLAAFDNP-	92
ZIIINAS 3	EVNALFT	JLVIACIPRSI-	VDVERLGPELQRMRAGL-	-IRLCADAEALLEAHYSDLLAAFDNP-	124
OSNASI OgNASI		$\frac{1}{1}$	- VDVARLGPEAQAMREEL-	- TRICSAALGHILAALIADMIAALDNP-	92 92
OSNAS2 OSNAS3	EVDALFT	ELVMTCTPPSS-	-VDVARLEFEAQAMREEL	-TRUCADAEGHLEAHYSDVI.AAHDNP-	106
0011100		2 <mark>117</mark> 111 <mark>0</mark> 111100	v <mark>b</mark> v b g b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b b		100
LjNAS1	LDHLHIF1	PYYN <mark>NY</mark> LK <mark>L</mark> GL <mark>I</mark>	LEYTILTQNSIHVPEKI	I- <mark>AFIGSGP</mark> LPLT <mark>S</mark> IV <mark>LA</mark> S-NHLISTT	<mark>F</mark> H 150
LjNAS2	LN <mark>H</mark> IKSFI	PYYS <mark>NY</mark> LK <mark>L</mark> SH <mark>J</mark>	<mark>LE</mark> FTMLTSHCTQV-PSQL-	<mark>AF</mark> I <mark>GSGP</mark> L <mark>P</mark> LT <mark>S</mark> IM <mark>LA</mark> TFYMKN-TC	<mark>F</mark> Н 150
MtNAS	LN <mark>H</mark> IKIFI	PYYK <mark>NY</mark> LK <mark>L</mark> THJ	<mark>LE</mark> FTMFTKHITQV-PSKL-	<mark>AF</mark> I <mark>GSGP</mark> L <mark>P</mark> LT <mark>S</mark> II <mark>LA</mark> TYYLTK-TC	<mark>F</mark> H 151
AtNAS1	LDHLHIF1	<mark>PY</mark> YS <mark>NY</mark> LK <mark>L</mark> GK <mark>I</mark>	<mark>le</mark> FDLLSQHSSHVptk1	I– <mark>AFVGSGP</mark> M <mark>P</mark> LT <mark>S</mark> IV <mark>LA</mark> K–FHLPNTT	<mark>F</mark> H 154
AtNAS2	LN <mark>H</mark> LHIFI	<mark>PY</mark> YN <mark>NY</mark> LK <mark>L</mark> GKI	<mark>LE</mark> FDLLSQHTTHVPTKV	/- <mark>AFIGSGP</mark> MPLT <mark>S</mark> IV <mark>LA</mark> K-FHLPNTT	<mark>F</mark> H 153
AtNAS3	LHHLNIFI	PYYN <mark>NY</mark> LK <mark>L</mark> GKI	LEFDLLEQNLNGF-VPKSV	/- <mark>AFIGSGPLP</mark> LT <mark>S</mark> IV <mark>LA</mark> S-FHLKDTI	FH 153
AtNAS4	LHHLNLFI	PYYNNYLKLSKI	LEFDLLEQNLNGF-VPRT	/-AFIGSGPLPLTSVVLAS-SHLKDSI	FH 154
LENAS		PYFDNYIKLSL		L-AFIGSGPLPLTSLVLAT-KHLKTTC	FH 151
HVNASI		PYYSNYVNLSRI	EYELLARHVPGIAPAR	-VAFVGSGPLPFSSLVLAAH-HLPETQ	FD 153
HVNASZ		PYYNNYINLSKI	EYELLARYVPGGY-RPA	RVAFIGSGPLPFSSFVLAAR-HLPDIM	FD 156
HVNASS UTIMAGA		PIISNIINLSKI	FVFIIARIVRK-H-RPAR	VAFIGSGPLPFSSFVLAAR-HLPDIM	בס ב <u>י</u> היים 155
HVNAS4 Umnac		PIISNIINLSKI	FVELIARIVPGRARP-AR	WAFIGSGPLFFSSIVLAAR-HLPDIV	בב ע <mark>ד</mark> 155 ח ש
HVNASO HvNAS7		DVVSNVINLSKI	FYFLLARVVDCCTAD-AF	VAFIGSCPLFFS51VLAAR-HLPDAM	בב ש <mark>ר</mark> דם 155
HVNAS8		PYYSNYTNLSKI	EVELLARYVPGRH-RPAR	RVAFTGSGPLPFSSYVLAAR-HLPDAM	בב בס <mark>ד</mark> ת 155
HVNAS9		PYFNNYTKLSOI	EHGIJARHVPGPAPAF	RVAFLGSGPLPLSSLVLAAR-HLPDAS	FD 161
ZmNAS1	LDHLGRFI	PYFSNYIDLSKI	EFDLLVRYIPGLAPSF	RVAFVGSGPLPFSSLVLAAR-HLPNTL	F_{D} 154
ZmNAS3	LDHLPLF	PYFTNYLLLSO	LEHGLLARHVPGPPP-S-F	RV <mark>AFVGSGP</mark> LPLS <mark>S</mark> LVLASR-HLPAAA	FD 186
OsNAS1	LDHLARF1	PYYGNYVNLSKI	LEYDLLVRYVPGIAPTR	-V <mark>AFVGSGPLP</mark> FS <mark>S</mark> LV <mark>LA</mark> AH-HLPDAV	FD 154
OsNAS2	LDHLARF1	PYYG <mark>NY</mark> VNLSKJ	LEYDLLVRYVPGIAPTR	-V <mark>AF</mark> V <mark>GSGP</mark> LPFS <mark>S</mark> LV <mark>LA</mark> AH-HLPDAV	<mark>F</mark> D 154
OsNAS3	LD <mark>H</mark> LALFI	<mark>PY</mark> FN <mark>NY</mark> IQ <mark>L</mark> AQI	<mark>LE</mark> YALLARHLPAAPPPS-F	RL <mark>AF</mark> L <mark>GSGP</mark> LPLS <mark>S</mark> LV <mark>LA</mark> AR-HLPAAS	<mark>F</mark> H 169
LjNAS1	NYDIDSSA	ANSSAQK – <mark>L</mark> VLS	3DPDLSNR – – <mark>MVF</mark> HTS <mark>D</mark> II	LDVTKELEDYDVVYLAALVGMNKEEKN	RI 212
LJNAS2	NYDMDPS	ANAKAYD- <mark>L</mark> VSS	SDPDLSKR – – MFFHTTDIA	AHVSNALKEYNVVF <mark>LAALVGM</mark> DKKG <mark>K</mark> E	SV 212
MtNAS	NFDIDSLA	ANSKAYD- <mark>L</mark> ISP	UNDLSKR – – MLFHTSDIV	VDVKNELKEFNVVF <mark>LAALVGM</mark> DKKE <mark>K</mark> A	.KV 213
ATNASI		ANTLASN-LVSI		LNATEGEDQYDVVFLAALVGMDKESKV	KA 216
AUNASZ		ANTLASN-LVSI		LINANEGIDUYDVVFILAALVGMDKESKV	NA 215
ALINA53	ML DIDLSI	MOTURATE IT A 22	עעדטעאיטעצעדסעעריסעא	יוט v i to <mark>h</mark> cot dv vt <mark>laal vGM</mark> NKEE <mark>K</mark> V	rv 215

AtNAS4	NFDIDPSANMVAAR-LV	SSDPDLSORN	4FFHTVDIM	MDVTES <mark>L</mark> I	kgfdvvf <mark>l</mark>	AALVGMDKKE <mark>F</mark>	עאע 216
LeNAS	NYDTDVDANFMASA-LV	AADPDMSSR N	א <mark>תדדאת</mark> א		XDYDVVF <mark>L</mark>	ALVGMDKED	VKV 213
HTMN Q1	NVDLCCAANE_PARKLE					AALVCMAAFF	216 27 KV 216
HTTNAG 2	NYDI COAN DRACKIE					AALVGMAAEEI	$\frac{1}{2}$
	NYDI COANI DRASKLI						$\frac{1}{2}$
HVNASS	NIDLCGAAN-DRASKLF	RADIDVGAR				AALVGMAAED	ARV ZIC
HVNAS4	NYD-LCGAANDRATRLF	RADKDVGAR	ISFHTADVA		ATYDVVFL.	AALVGMAAED	CAKV ZI
HVNAS6	NYDLCSAAN-DRASKLF	RADKDVGAR <mark>I</mark>	4SFHTADVA	ADL'I'RE <mark>L</mark> A	AAYDVVF' <mark>L</mark>	AALVGMAAED <mark>r</mark>	CAKV 217
HvNAS7	NYVPVRA-ANDRATR <mark>L</mark> F	RADKDVGA––R <mark>I</mark>	<mark>1SFHTAD</mark> VI	ADLTDE <mark>L</mark> A	ATYDVVF <mark>L</mark>	<mark>AALVGM</mark> AAED <mark>H</mark>	<mark>(</mark> GQG 217
HvNAS8	NYDLCSAAN-DRASKLF	RADKDVGAR <mark>I</mark>	<mark>1SFHTAD</mark> V	ADLTGE <mark>L</mark> Z	AAYDVVF <mark>L</mark>	<mark>AALVGM</mark> AAED <mark>H</mark>	<mark>(</mark> ТКV 217
HvNAS9	NYDISGEANERASR-LV	RADADAGAR <mark>I</mark>	4 <mark>4AFRTAD</mark> V	advtte <mark>l</mark> i	EGYDVVF <mark>L</mark>	<mark>AALVGM</mark> AAEE <mark>F</mark>	<mark>(</mark> arl 223
ZmNAS1	NYDRCAAAND-RARKLV	RADKDLNAR <mark>I</mark>	<mark>1SFHTVD</mark> V	ANLTDE <mark>L</mark> Z	AKYDVVF <mark>L</mark>	<mark>AALVGM</mark> AAED <mark>H</mark>	<mark>к</mark> аку 216
ZmNAS3	NYDICGDANDRARR-LV	RADAALAAR <mark>N</mark>	AFRTSDV	AHVTRE <mark>L</mark> Z	AAYDVVF <mark>L</mark>	AALVGMAAEE <mark>F</mark>	ARV 248
OsNAS1	NYDRCGAANE-RARRIF	RGAD-EGLGAR	AFHTADVA	ATT TGEL	JAYDVVF <mark>L</mark>	AAT.VGMAAEE	AGV 217
OsNAS2	NYDRCGAANE-RARRIE	RGAD-EGLGAR		ATLTGEL	CAYDWVFL		a GV 217
OgNAG2							ZADM 221
USINASS	NIDICADANKKASK-UV	KADKDLISAK <mark>I</mark>			AAIDVVF <mark>L.</mark>	AALVGMAAEE <mark>I</mark>	ARM 231
T -'NTA O 1							
LJNASI		SAHGARAFLYP	/VEAS-DLQ	∑-G-FEVI	SVFHPTD.	EVINSVVIAR	$\frac{274}{274}$
LJNAS2	IN <mark>HL</mark> AKH <mark>M</mark> AP <mark>GA</mark> VLVL <mark>R</mark>	SAHGARA <mark>FLY</mark> P\	/VDPS-DLK	<− <mark>G</mark> −₽ЕVI	SVF HPTD.	E <mark>VINS</mark> VIVAR <mark>I</mark>	CHSV 274
MtNAS	IN <mark>HL</mark> AKY <mark>M</mark> AP <mark>GA</mark> ILVL <mark>R</mark>	SAHGAKA <mark>FLY</mark> HV	NDPSCDLK	(- <mark>G-F</mark> EVI	SIF <mark>HP</mark> TD:	E <mark>VINS</mark> VIVAR <mark>I</mark>	C-GL 275
AtNAS1	IE <mark>HL</mark> EKH <mark>M</mark> AP <mark>GA</mark> VLML <mark>R</mark>	SAHALRA <mark>FLY</mark> PI	[VDSS-DLK	(- <mark>G-F</mark> QL <mark>I</mark>	TIY <mark>HP</mark> TDI	D <mark>V</mark> V <mark>NS</mark> VVIAR <mark>I</mark>	<mark>(</mark> LGG 278
AtNAS2	IE <mark>HL</mark> EKH <mark>M</mark> AP <mark>GA</mark> VVML <mark>R</mark>	SAHGLRA <mark>FLY</mark> PI	IVDSC-DLK	(– <mark>G</mark> – <mark>F</mark> EV <mark>I</mark>	LTIY <mark>HP</mark> SD	D <mark>V</mark> V <mark>NS</mark> VVIAR <mark>I</mark>	<mark>(</mark> LGG 277
AtNAS3	IE <mark>HL</mark> QKH <mark>M</mark> AP <mark>GA</mark> VLML <mark>R</mark>	SAHGPRA <mark>FLY</mark> PI	IVEPC-DLQ)– <mark>G</mark> – <mark>F</mark> EV <mark>I</mark>	SIY <mark>HP</mark> TD	D <mark>VINS</mark> VVISK <mark>F</mark>	CHPV 277
AtNAS4	VE <mark>HL</mark> EKH <mark>M</mark> SP <mark>GA</mark> LLML <mark>R</mark>	SAHGPRA <mark>FLY</mark> PI	IVEPC-DLE	E- <mark>G-F</mark> EV <mark>I</mark>	SVY <mark>HP</mark> TDI	E <mark>VINS</mark> IVISR <mark>H</mark>	LGE 278
LeNAS	VD <mark>HL</mark> AKY <mark>M</mark> SP <mark>GA</mark> TLMLR	SAHGARA <mark>FLY</mark> PV	/LDPR-DLF	R− <mark>G−</mark> FEVI	SVY <mark>HP</mark> TD	E <mark>VINS</mark> VIIAR <mark>F</mark>	LPV 275
HvNAS1	TA <mark>HL</mark> GAHMVE <mark>GA</mark> SLVVR	SARP-RG <mark>FLY</mark> PI	VDPED-TE	RR <mark>GGF</mark> EVI	AVH <mark>HP</mark> EG	E <mark>VTNS</mark> VTVAR <mark>F</mark>	AVE 279
HVNAS2	TAHLGAHMADGAALWVR	SAHGARGELYPI		RGGFEVI			SKD 282
HVNAS3		SAHGARGELVPI		RCGFEVI			SKE 282
HTMND 9							202 270 270
UTINAST							$\frac{1}{2}$
HVNASU		CAUCADORI VDI	UDPQD-IC				
HVNAS /	DPHLGAHMADGAALV-R		LVDPQD-IG			DV VINS VII AQI	SKD 200
HVNAS8	IAHLGAHMADGAALVVR			GGFEVI			(SKD 28)
HVNAS9	VEHLGRHMAPGAALVVR	SAHGARGFLYP	/VDPEE-IF	RGGFEVI	TVHHPED.	EVINSVIIAR	CAAA 28/
ZmNASI	VA <mark>HL</mark> GRH <mark>M</mark> AD <mark>GA</mark> ALVV <mark>R</mark>	SAHGARG <mark>FLY</mark> P1	LVDPED-TF	RR <mark>G</mark> GFDVI	AVYHPDN.	E <mark>VINS</mark> VIIAR <mark>I</mark>	(M-D 279
ZmNAS3	VE <mark>HL</mark> GRH <mark>M</mark> AP <mark>GA</mark> ALVV <mark>R</mark>	SAHGARG <mark>FLY</mark> PV	/VDPEE-IF	RR <mark>G</mark> GFDVI	LAVH <mark>HP</mark> EG	E <mark>VINS</mark> VIIAR <mark>I</mark>	<mark>(</mark> PL- 311
OsNAS1	IA <mark>HL</mark> GAH <mark>M</mark> AD <mark>GA</mark> ALVV <mark>R</mark>	TAHGARG <mark>FLY</mark> PI	IVDPED-VF	RR <mark>G</mark> GFDV <mark>I</mark>	LAVC <mark>HP</mark> ED	E <mark>V</mark> INSVIVAR <mark>I</mark>	<mark>(</mark> VGA 281
OsNAS2	IA <mark>HL</mark> GAH <mark>M</mark> AD <mark>GA</mark> ALVV <mark>R</mark>	R-HGARG <mark>FLY</mark> PI	[VDLED-IF	RR <mark>G</mark> GFDV <mark>I</mark>	LAVY <mark>HP</mark> DD	E <mark>VINS</mark> VIVAR <mark>I</mark>	<mark>(</mark> adp 280
OsNAS3	VE <mark>HL</mark> GKH <mark>M</mark> AP <mark>GA</mark> ALVV <mark>R</mark>	SAHGARG <mark>FLY</mark> P\	/VDPEE-IF	RR <mark>G</mark> G <mark>F</mark> DV <mark>I</mark>	LAVH <mark>HP</mark> EG	E <mark>V</mark> I <mark>NS</mark> VIIAR <mark>H</mark>	<mark>(</mark> P 293
T JNAC1			CCD_I				210
	N DOT I I C	VGSMILLPINK					210
	N-PGILLSSK	CHELAA	EGI		NVID-ELSI	LITDERA	212
MUNAS		Q	Gl				202
ATNASI	P=TTP=GVN=====GT	RGCMFMPCN	CSKIF		JKK-NM	IEEFSAIE	320
AtNAS2	S-NGARGSQ1G-	R-CVVMPCN	CSKVF		JMEKNL	IEEYSAIE	320
AtNAS3	VSIGNVG	GPNSCLLKPCN-	CSKTH	IAKMNKNN	M-IEEFG	-AREEQ-LS-	320
AtNAS4	D-ANGVVHDHID	QAS-D-LA-CN-	CSKIH	IVIMNKKI	SIIEEFA	GANEEQ-LT-	324
LeNAS	PSVPLLDGL	G-AYVLPSK	CACAE	EIHAFI	JPLNKMN-1	L-VEEFALEE	317
HvNAS1	AQLSGPQ-NGDAHA	-RGAV-PLVSPI	PCNFSTKME	EASALE	-KSEE-LTA	AKE-L-AF	327
HvNAS2	VHADGLGSGR-GAGGQY	ARGTV-PVVSPI	PCRFGE-M	/ADVTQNH	IKRDE – FAI	NAEVA-F-	335
HvNAS3	VHADGLGSAR-GAGROY	ARGTV-PVVSPI	PCRFGE-M	/ADVTONH	IKRDE – FAI	NAEVA-F-	335
HvNAS4	VHEYGLGSGR-G-GR-Y	ARGTVVPVVSPI	PCRFGE-M	/ADVTO	-KREE-FAI	NAEVA-F-	329
HVNAS6	VHANERPNGRGGOY	-RGAV-PVVSPI	CREGE-M	/ADVTH	-KREE - FTI	NAEVA-F-	328
HVNAS7	MFANGPRNGC-G-GR-V	ARGTV-PVVSPI	PCRFGE_M	/ADVTO	-KREE-FAI	XA = -EVA - F =	329
HVNASS	VHANERPNCVVDQT	-RGAV-DW/SDI	PCRFGE_M	/ADVTH	-KREE-FT	VA = -EVA = F =	329
TTANN CO					JAMAB-IN TUTER LI		210
		AYCAVA-VO-RE	CLUGCACEL	лили ор			34U 207
		GIVPI-VSPI		иш дратт иш лратт		NE OLD C	34/ 250
ZMNAS3	PVVDEHAVAGVG-HA	-HAHGA-VLSRI		′IE − AKAH(J D G D I IE	VA-	-ME-QLP-S-	359
USNASI	AAAAAAARRDE-LADS-	-KGVVLPVVGPI	STCC-KVE	SASAVE	-KAEE-FA	ANKEL-SV	332
USNAS2	RRGGGLAGA-	-KGAV-PVVSPI	PCKCC-KME	SAAAGAF(2KAEE-FA	AKR-L-SV	325
OsNAS3	PVAAP-ALEGGDAHA	-HGHGA-VVSRI	CQRCEN	4E–ARAHÇ	2KMED-MS2	AME-KLP-SS	343

Supplementary Fig. 2 Alignment of the deduced amino-acid sequences of the LjNAS1 and LjNAS2 with other nicotianamine synthase from plants. Identical amino-acid residues are highlighted. Dashed lines indicate gaps introduced into the sequences to maximized homology. Sequence accession numbers are described in Figure 1