## Flatness of Simple Ring Extensions over Noetherian Rings

Mitsuo KANEMITSU and Ken-ichi YOSHIDA

(Department of Mathematics, Aichi University of Education, Igaya, Kariya 448, Japan) and (Department of Applied Mathematics, Okayama University of Science, Ridai, Okayama 700, Japan)

(Received August 2, 1996)

All rings in this paper are assumed to be commutative with identity.

Let *R* be a Noetherian integral domain and R[X] a polynomial ring. Let  $\alpha$  be an element of an algebraic field extension *L* of the quotient field *K* of *R* and let  $\pi: R[X] \to R[\alpha]$  be the *R*-algebra homomorphism sending *X* to  $\alpha$ . Let  $\phi_{\alpha}(X)$  be the monic minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over *K* with deg  $\phi_{\alpha}(X) = d$  and write

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_{a}(X) = X^{d} + \eta_{1} X^{d-1} + \cdot \cdot \cdot + \eta_{d}.$$

Let  $I_{[\alpha]} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{d} (R_{R_{\alpha}}; \eta_{i})$ . For  $f(X) \in K[X]$ , let c(f(X)) denote the *R*-submodule generated by the coefficients of f(X). Let  $J_{[\alpha]} := I_{[\alpha]}c(\phi_{\alpha}(X))$ , which is an ideal of *R* and contains  $I_{[\alpha]}$ . The element  $\alpha$  is called an *anti-integral element* of degree *d* over *R* if Ker  $\pi = I_{[\alpha]}\phi_{\alpha}(X)R[X]$ . When  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree *d* over *R*,  $R[\alpha]$  is called an *anti-integral extension* of *R* (cf. [1, Introduction]). The element  $\alpha$  is called a *super-primitive element* of degree *d* over *R* if  $J_{[\alpha]} \subset p$  for any prime *p* of depth one. In case that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over *R*, it is known that  $A = R[\alpha]$  is *R*-flat if and only if  $J_{[\alpha]} = R$  ([2, Proposition 2.6]).

In this paper, for  $\alpha$  is not necessarily anti-integral over R, we shall extend the results obtained under the condition that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element over R.

We start with the following definition.

**Definition.** Let  $0 \to \text{Ker } \pi \to R[X] \to R[\alpha] \to 0$  be an exact sequence. For an integer  $t \ge 0$ , we set  $\Delta_t = \{f(X) \in \text{Ker } \pi \mid \deg f(X) = t\}$ . Let  $L_t = \{a \in R \mid a = 0 \text{ or } a \text{ is the leading coefficient of } f(X)$ , where f(X) runs over  $\Delta_t\}$ , which is an ideal of R.

We shall give the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $d = [K(\alpha): K]$ . Then the following statements hold. (1) If t < d, then  $\Delta_t = (0)$  and  $L_t = (0)$ . (2) If t = d, then  $L_t = I_{[\alpha]}$ . (3) If  $t \ge d$ , then  $L_t \subseteq L_{t+1}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $K(\alpha)$  is an extension field of K with  $d = [K(\alpha): K]$ , it follows that

$$\alpha^{d} + \eta_1 \alpha^{d-1} + \cdot \cdot \cdot + \eta_d = 0,$$

where  $\eta_t \in K$ . Clearly, (1) holds. From the definition of  $I_{[\alpha]}$ , (2) is trivial. Let  $f(X) \in \Delta_t$ . Then  $Xf(X) \in \Delta_{t+1}$ . Hence  $L_t \subseteq L_{t+1}$ .

The following proposition is useful in the sequel.

**Proposition 2.** Assume that  $t \ge d$ . Then  $L_t = L_{t+1} = \cdots = L_n = \cdots$  if and only if Ker  $\pi$  is generated by polynomials of degree t at most.

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) Let Ker  $\pi \ni f(X)$  and let *a* be the leading coefficient of f(X). Put  $n = \deg f(X)$ . Suppose that  $n \ge t + 1$ . Since  $a \in L_n = L_t$ , there exists a polynomial  $g(X) \in \Delta_t$  such that *a* is the leading coefficient of g(X). Since  $f(X) - X^{n-t}g(X) \in \text{Ker } \pi$  and  $\deg(f(X) - X^{n-t}g(X)) < n$ , we have that f(X) is of degree *t* at most.

(⇐) Let  $f(X) \in \text{Ker } \pi$  and *a* be the leading coefficient of f(X). Then

 $f(X) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} g_i(X) h_i(X)$ , where deg  $g_i(X) \leq t$ ,  $g_i(X) \in \text{Ker } \pi$ . We may assume that deg  $(g_i(X) h_i(X)) = \deg f(X)$   $(1 \leq i \leq m)$  and deg  $(g_i(X) h_i(X)) < \deg f(X)$   $(m+1 \leq i \leq s)$ . Let  $b_i$  and  $c_i$  be the leading coefficients of  $g_i(X)$  and  $h_i(X)$ , respectively. Then

 $a = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i c_i \in L_t$ . Hence  $L_n \subseteq L_t$ , where  $n = \deg f(X)$ .

**Remark 1.** (1)  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree d over R if and only if  $L_d = L_{d+1} = \cdots$ 

In fact,  $\alpha$  is anti-integral if and only if Ker  $\pi$  is generated by some polynomials of degree d.

(2) If  $\alpha$  is integral over R, then there exists an integer t  $(\geq d)$  such that  $L_t = R$ .

Indeed,  $\alpha$  has a monic relation over R of degree t. Then  $1 \in L_t$  and so  $L_t = R$ .

The converse of this statement is true. Hence the non-integral locus is given by  $V(L_t)$ , where  $V(L_t) = \{p \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid p \supset L_t\}$ .

**Definition.** Assume that  $L_t = L_{t+1} = \cdots = L_n = \cdots$ . Then we denote the ideal generated by all coefficients of each polynomial in  $\Delta_t$  by  $L_{[g]}$ .

**Proposition 3.** Assume that  $t \ge d$  and  $L_t = L_{t+1} = \cdots = L_n = \cdots$ . Let  $p \in \text{Spec } R$  and  $A = R[\alpha]$ . Then  $p \supset L_{[\alpha]}$  if and only if  $A/pA \cong (R/p)[T]$ , where T is an indeterminate over R/p, that is, Spec A is a blowing-up at p.

**Proof.** ( $\Rightarrow$ ) From Proposition 2, Ker  $\pi$  is generated by polynomials of degree t at most. The coefficients of their polynomials are contained in  $L_{[\alpha]}$ . Since  $L_{[\alpha]} \subset p$ , we have that Ker  $\pi \subseteq pR[X]$ . Therefore  $A/pA \cong R[X]/pR[X] \cong (R/p)[T]$ .

(⇐) Since Ker  $\pi \subseteq pR[X]$ ,  $c(\text{Ker }\pi) = L_{[\alpha]}$ . Thus  $L_{[\alpha]} \subseteq p$ .

In Spec  $A \to \text{Spec } R$ , the blowing-up locus is given by  $V(L_{[\sigma]})$ .

**Remark 2**. Assume that  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree d over R. Then the following statements hold.

(1)  $J_{[\alpha]} = L_{[\alpha]}$ .

In fact, since Ker  $\pi = I_{[\alpha]} \phi_{\alpha}(X) R[X]$ ,  $\Delta_t = I_{[\alpha]} \phi_{\alpha}(X) \cdot (\text{polynomials of degree } t - d)$ . Hence  $L_t = I_{[\alpha]}$  and so  $L_{[\alpha]} = I_{[\alpha]} c(\phi_{\alpha}(X)) = J_{[\alpha]}$ .

(2)  $A = R[\alpha]$  is *R*-flat if and only if  $J_{[\alpha]} = R$  ([2, Proposition 2.6]). In general, (2) is not necessarily true.

**Example.** Let  $\overline{R}$  be the integral closure of R in K. Assume that  $R \subseteq \overline{R}$ . Take  $\alpha \in \overline{R} - R$ . Since  $\alpha$  is integral over R, we have that  $L_{[\alpha]} = R$ . But suppose that  $R[\alpha]$  is R-flat. Then  $R[\alpha]$  is integral over R. Thus  $R = R[\alpha]$ , a contradiction. Hence  $R[\alpha]$  is not R-flat. This example has property that  $L_{[\alpha]} = R$  but  $R[\alpha]$  is not R-flat.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $p \in \text{Spec } R$ . If  $p \Rightarrow J_{[a]}$ , then  $A_p$  is  $R_p$ -flat.

**Proof.** We may assume that (R, p) is a local ring. Then  $J_{[\alpha]} = R$ . So  $\alpha$  is a super-primitive element of degree d over R and so  $\alpha$  is an anti-integral element of degree d over R ([2, Theorem 1.12]). From [2, Proposition 2.6],  $R[\alpha]$  is R-flat.

Is the converse of this statement true ?

**Theorem 5.** If  $R[\alpha]$  is R-flat and integral over R, then  $I_{[\alpha]} = R$ . Hence  $R[\alpha]$  is a free R-module of rank d.

**Proof.** Since  $\alpha$  is an integral element over R, we have that  $R[\alpha]$  is a finite R-module. Since  $R[\alpha]$  is a flat R-module,  $R[\alpha]$  is a locally free R-module of rank d. Thus  $R_p[\alpha]$  is a  $R_p$ -free module of rank d for  $p \in \text{Spec } R$ . We may assume that (R, m) is a local ring. Put  $A = R[\alpha]$  and  $A/mA = (R/m)[\overline{\alpha}]$ . Since A is a free R-module of rank d, A/mA is a d-dimensional vector space over R/m. Hence  $\overline{\alpha}$  has a monic relation over R/m with degree d. Therefore

$$A = (R + R\alpha + \cdots + R\alpha^{d-1}) + mA.$$

By Nakayama's lemma,  $A = R + R\alpha + \cdots + R\alpha^{d-1}$ . This implies that  $\alpha^d = a_0 + a_1\alpha + \cdots + a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}$  for some  $a_i \in R$   $(0 \le i \le d-1)$ . Therefore  $I_{[\alpha]} = R$ . And so  $J_{[\alpha]} = R$ .

**Remark 3.** If  $R[\alpha]$  is integral over R, the non-flat locus is given by  $V(I_{[\alpha]})$ .

On a general extension  $A = R[\alpha]$  of R, the following assertions hold. Assume that  $L_t = L_{t+1} = \cdots = L_n = \cdots$ .

- (1) The non-integral locus of A over R is given by  $V(L_t)$ .
- (2) For Spec  $A \to \text{Spec } R$ , the blowing-up locus is given by  $V(L_{[\alpha]})$ .

(3) Suppose that A is integral over R. If  $L_t = R$ , the non-flat locus A over R is given by  $V(I_{[\alpha]})$ . If A is not integral over R, the non-flat locus does not know, but in case  $p \, \supseteq J_{[\alpha]}$  we have that  $A_p/R_p$  is flat.

## References

- [1]M. Kanemitsu and K. Yoshida, Some properties of extensions  $R[\alpha] \cap R[\alpha^{-1}]$  over Noetherian domains R, Communications in Algebra, 23 (1995), 4501-4507.
- [2]S. Oda, J. Sato and K. Yoshida, High degree anti-integral extensions of Noetherian domains, Osaka J. Math. 30 (1993), 111
  –135.